

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	7	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,518	10/665,518 09/22/2003		Andre Stamm		107664.115 US11 5827		
26694	7590	11/02/2005		. [	EXAM	INER	
VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385					SHEIKH, HUMERA N		
		20045-9998		Γ	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	, ,		·		1615		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/665,518	STAMM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Humera N. Sheikh	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	. •						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S	September 2005.						
•	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		4					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application	I.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
	ts have been received						
	<ul> <li>1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		,					
application from the International Burea	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	∍d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/22/03;6/18/04;6/2</u> 8/04	6) Other:	11					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Application

Receipt of the Power of Attorney (POA) Notice filed 09/30/05 and the Information Disclosure Statements (IDS) filed 09/22/03, 06/18/04 and 06/28/04 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-45 are pending in this action. Claims 1-45 are rejected.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-45 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application Nos. 10/665,516; 10/665,517; 10/665,519 and 10/665,522.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims of the 10/665,518 application and the each of the above-cited copending applications claim similar subject matter. For example, the instant claims are

drawn to a capsule comprising a fenofibrate composition, said fenofibrate composition comprising fenofibrate, at least one hydrophilic polymer and at least one disintegrating agent, wherein the weight ratio of fenofibrate to hydrophilic polymer is between 1:10 and 4:1. The claims of the copending applications listed above also recite fenofibrate compositions, in various forms including tablets, capsules and suspensions, whereby the fenofibrate compositions comprise polymers and excipients. Thus, the compositions recited in the claims of the copending applications listed above are directly within the scope of the compositions of the instant claims. The copending application claims are directly within the scope of the instant pending claims, thereby creating an 'anticipation situation' in obvious type double patenting.

Additional properties claimed are inherent by the use of the particular drug, fenofibrate in combination with excipients known in the art.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

There are numerous applications that may necessitate a double patenting rejection due to the breadth of the claims, as can be seen by an inventors name search of US Patents and Applications. It would constitute an undue burden for the Examiner to specifically analyze each of the numerous patent applications. A quick search turned up the copending applications above that appear to have similar subject matter as claimed. The Examiner requests a complete list of both patents and pending applications, which may initiate a double patenting rejection because of the undue burden presented by the numerous overlapping subject matter with the instant claims.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Art Unit: 1615

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent Nos. <u>6,652,881</u>; <u>6,589,552</u>; <u>6,596,317</u>; <u>6,277,405</u>; <u>6,074,670</u>; Patent Application Publication <u>US2002/0009496 A1</u> (09/899,026) and Patent Application Publication <u>US2003/0104060 A1</u> (10/290,333). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because similar subject matter has been claimed in both the instant claims of the 10/665,518 application and each of the above-cited U.S. Patents/Patent Application Publications.

For example, the instant claims are drawn to a capsule comprising a fenofibrate composition, said fenofibrate composition comprising fenofibrate, at least one hydrophilic polymer and at least one disintegrating agent, wherein the weight ratio of fenofibrate to hydrophilic polymer is between 1:10 and 4:1. The claims of the above-cited U.S. Patents/Patent Application Publications also recite fenofibrate compositions, in various forms, including tablets, capsules, suspensions and the like, whereby the fenofibrate compositions comprise polymers and

Art Unit: 1615

excipients. Thus, the compositions recited in the claims of the U.S. Patents/Patent Application Publications listed above are directly within the scope of the compositions of the instant claims. The copending application claims are directly within the scope of the instant pending claims,

thereby creating an 'anticipation situation' in obvious type double patenting.

Additional properties claimed are inherent by the use of the particular drug, fenofibrate in

combination with excipients known in the art.

subject matter with the instant claims.

There are numerous applications that may necessitate a double patenting rejection due to the breadth of the claims, as can be seen by an inventors name search of US Patents and Applications. It would constitute an undue burden for the Examiner to specifically analyze each of the numerous patent applications. A quick search turned up the U.S. Patents/Patent Application Publications above that appear to have similar subject matter as claimed. The Examiner requests a complete list of both patents and pending applications, which may initiate a double patenting rejection because of the undue burden presented by the numerous overlapping

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Humera N. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0604.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,518

Art Unit: 1615

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00A.M. to 5:30P.M.,

alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have any questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H. N. Sheikh An Shelleh

Patent Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 1615

October 27, 2005